UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability
Litigation

Ana Bravo-Segura, on behalf of
This Document Relates To:

Martin Maltos

Case Name: Ana Bravo-Segura, et al. vs. Johnson & Johnso

Case No.:

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

I. FILING OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

P	Plaintiff(s) hereby file their Complaint by way of (select one):
v	This Complaint is filed pursuant to Order: Direct Filing (DE 238). Plaintiff(s)
hereby	designate(s) the United States District Court for
the	District of Nevada as Plaintiff(s)' home venue
("Home	Venue"), as this case may have originally been filed there because:
	The APAP product(s) Plaintiff-Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff-
	Minor were purchased and/or used in Las Vegas (city)
	NV (state).
	Plaintiff-minor was born in Henderson (city). NV (state).
	A substantial part of other events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred there, to wit:
	At least Defendant is a resident of the district and all defendants are residents of the State in which that district is located.
	This case originally was filed in the United States District Court
fo	or the and was transferred to this
C	Court via the initial Transfer Order / Conditional Transfer Order No.

by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

II. PLAINTIFF(S) INFORMATION

Plaintiff(s) are the following individuals (check all boxes which apply and fill out all
information for selected Plaintiff(s)):
Plaintiff-Mother (name): Ana Bravo-Sergura
■ State of Residence: NV
■ State of Citizenship: NV
Filing Capacity:
As Guardian, on behalf of Plaintiff Child
Individually
Plaintiff Child #1 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
Martin Maltos
■ State of Residence: NV
■ State of Citizenship: NV
■ Year of Birth (yyyy): <u>2005</u>
■ Injury:
Autism Spectrum Disorder
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Plaintiff Child #2 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
State of Residence:
State of Citizenship:

Year of Birth (yyyy):
■ Injury:
Autism Spectrum Disorder
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Other Plaintiff(s):
■ Capacity to assert claim(s) (e.g., other parent, guardian, conservator, administrator,
executor):
State of Residence:
State of Citizenship:
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) include additional Plaintiff(s) who are immediate family members or who solely assert derivative claims, but are not otherwise listed above due to space constraints, please check here and list the additional Plaintiff's name, capacity to assert claims, state of residence, state of citizenship, and pertinent factual and legal claims on a separate sheet of paper.
See attached
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) seek(s) to include additional Plaintiff-Children, please check here and list the additional name (or initials, if the Plaintiff Child is currently a minor), state of residence, state of citizenship, year of birth, and injury on a separate sheet of paper.
See attached
III. INCORPORATION OF MASTER COMPLAINT(S)
Plaintiff(s) incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the below indicated First
Amended Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in In Re: Acetaminophen
ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043, on July 25, 2023:
The First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. (DE 774).

		 Note: Plaintiffs incorporating this First Amended Master Complaint must complete Section IV.A in addition to the above sections. 	
	~	The First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand	
		Against the Retailer Defendants (DE 773).	
		• <i>Note:</i> Plaintiffs incorporating this First Amended Master Complaint must complete Section IV.B in addition to the above sections.	
IV.	DEFE	NDANT(S)	
	A.	Manufacturer Defendant	
		1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Manufacturer Defendant selected	1
below:			
	Jol	hnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.	
		Plaintiff Child #1:	
		Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:	
		 Tylenol Regular® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Regular®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 	
		Nov 2004 to Aug 2005	
		Tylenol Extra Strength® Date range Mother took Tylenol Extra Strength® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):	
		to	
		Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):	
		to	

Other: Date range Mother took Other Produ Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/y	uct while pregnant with
	yyyy):
to	
Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):	
 Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Moth with Plaintiff Child #2: 	her took while pregnant
☐ Tylenol Regular® ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Rewith Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to</u>	
to	
■ Tylenol Extra Strength® ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol l</u> pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm	
to	
Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took Tylenol Release® while pregnant with Plaint to mm/yyyy):	Extra Strength Rapid
to	
Other: Date range Mother took Other Produ Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/y	
to	
2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnso	

Inc. as if fully set forth herein.

Case 1:23-cv-07611-DLC Document 1 Filed 08/28/23 Page 6 of 24

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (*i.e.* not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below)):

Plaintiff- Mother (Individually)	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff-Other (Individually)	Claim/Allegation
•	>			Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
•	>			Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
V	✓			Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation By Omission (Limited to: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, and Wisconsin)
V	V			Count V: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the box(es) above for which misrepresentation is an element
Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the First Amended Master Long
Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Any additional
Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation by omission must be set forth here
None.

Case 1:23-cv-07611-DLC Document 1 Filed 08/28/23 Page 7 of 24 The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint. (Please state additional claims and the factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed. None. В. Retailer Defendant(s): Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Retailer Defendants selected below. By checking a box against a Retailer Defendant, Plaintiff(s) allege their claims arise out of the acetaminophen store brands identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants or otherwise specify additional products below: 7-Eleven, Inc. Plaintiff Child #1: Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): to _____ Location(s) where purchased (City, State): Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child

#1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):

•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant v	with
	Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):	

to

Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

Case 1:23-cv-07611-DLC Document 1 Filed 08/28/23 Page 8 of 24

• Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child

	#2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
☐ Big Lots, Inc.	
Plaintiff C	
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
 Plaintiff C 	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

•	Plaintiff C	esale Corporation Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff (Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

LLC
Child #1:
Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff C	Phild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	_	
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 Two if not identified in the <i>First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants</i> :
		Complaint und July Demana Against Netauer Defendants.

Fa	amily Dolla	r Stores, LLC
•	Plaintiff C	Thild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Th	ie Kroger (Co.
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child
		#1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child
		#2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Rite Aid Cor	poration
Plaintiff C	Thild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Phild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Saf	eway, Inc.	•
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

	m's West	Inc.
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

Ta	arget Corp	oration
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
		Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

P1	aintiff Child	#1:				
		_		k Store Brand : /yyy to mm/yyy	Product(s) while p yy):	regnant with
	No	ov	2004	to Aug	2005	
		cation(s) v	-	nased (City, Sta	te):	
	#1	if not id	lentified in	the First Amer	nile pregnant with P Inded Master Long Retailer Defendan	Form
■ Pl	■ Da	te range	Mother too	Plaintiff Child): k Store Brand : yyyy to mm/yyy	Product(s) while p	regnant with
■ Pl	■ Da	te range	Mother too	k Store Brand	Product(s) while p	regnant with
■ Pl	■ Da Pla	te range lintiff Chil	Mother too ld #2 (mm/y	k Store Brand	Product(s) while p	regnant with

	•		_		Product(s) while p	regnant w
			`	yyyy to mm/yyy	• /	
		Nov	2004	to Aug	2005	
	•	Location(-	hased (City, Stat	re):	
	•	#1 if not	t identified in	the First Amen	ile pregnant with P ded Master Long Retailer Defendan	Form
■ Pla	uintiff C	Date rang	ge Mother too		Product(s) while p	regnant v
■ Pla		Date rang	ge Mother too	,	` '	regnant v
■ Pla		Date rang	ge Mother too	ok Store Brand I	` '	regnant v
■ Pla		Date rang Plaintiff (ge Mother too Child #2 (mm/	ok Store Brand I yyyy to mm/yyy	ry):	regnant v
■ Pla		Date rang Plaintiff (ge Mother too Child #2 (mm/	ok Store Brand I	ry):	regnant v

Case 1:23-cv-07611-DLC Document 1 Filed 08/28/23 Page 21 of 24

Ot	her Retaile	er:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:
		Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2:
		Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *First*Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants as if fully set forth herein.

Case 1:23-cv-07611-DLC Document 1 Filed 08/28/23 Page 22 of 24

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (i.e. not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below):

Plaintiff- Mother (Individually)	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff-Other (Individually)	Claim/Allegation
~	>			Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
✓	~			Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
V	~			Count III: Negligence
	~			Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation By Omission (Limited to: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, and Wisconsin)
V	~			Count V: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the boxes above for which misrepresentation is an ele	ement,
Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the First Amended Master	· Long
Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants. Any additional Plain	tiff(s)-
specific allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation must be set forth here:	

None.

Case 1:23-cv-07611-DLC Document 1 Filed 08/28/23 Page 23 of 24

5. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the				
First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants				
and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint (Please state additional claims and the				
factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed):				
None.				

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and demand(s) a trial by jury as set forth in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in *In Re:* Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043 (S.D.N.Y.), on July 25, 2023.

Dated: Aug	28	2023	Respectfully submitted,	
			/S/ Kimball Jones	
	Attorney Name: Kimball Jones			
	Attorney Firm: Bighorn Law			
	Attorney Address Line 1:			
	3675 W Cheyenne Ave #100 North Las Vegas, NV 89032			
	Attorney Address Line 2:			
	Telephone: 702-333-1111			
	Fax: 702-710-0999			
	Attorney Email: kimball@bighornlaw.com			
	Counsel for Plaintiff(s):			